

















regarding the deployment or implementation of security personnel or devices; arising regarding any item listed on this agenda.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Yvonne Allen  
Legal/Board Support Specialist II

Date: January 26, 2011













































<b>Capital Metro</b>	<b>FPR Evaluations -</b>			
<b>Evaluation Matrix- RFP 120004</b>	<b>WELLNESS PROGRAM SERVICES</b>			
<b>OFFEROR</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>Overall Rating</b>
	<b>Evaluator 1</b>			
<b>Health &amp; Lifestyles</b>	<b>M</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>A</b>
<b>Medi Fit</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>E</b>
	<b>Evaluator 2</b>			
<b>Health &amp; Lifestyles</b>	<b>M</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>A</b>
<b>Medi Fit</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>E</b>
	<b>Evaluator 3</b>			
<b>Health &amp; Lifestyles</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>M</b>	<b>A</b>
<b>Medi Fit</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>E</b>
	<b>Evaluator 4</b>			
<b>Health &amp; Lifestyles</b>	<b>M</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>M</b>	<b>M</b>
<b>Medi Fit</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>E</b>
	<b>Evaluator 5</b>			
<b>Health &amp; Lifestyles</b>	<b>M</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>A</b>
<b>Medi Fit</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>E</b>
<p>(1) The offeror's demonstrated, understanding of the requirements in Exhibit F, Scope of Services. The proposed methodology and quality of the work plan proposed to meet project objectives.</p> <p>The standard will be met when the offeror's work plan demonstrates understanding of, and response to the requirements in Exhibit F, Scope of Services.</p> <p>(2) Capabilities of the proposed project personnel on projects of a similar size, scope, complexity and nature.</p> <p>The standard will be met when the offeror demonstrates that it and its sub-consultants have the existing in-house qualified key persons assigned to perform the services required in this contract, and attests that none of these key persons will be replaced for the duration of the project unless replaced with a person of equal or</p> <p>(3) The offeror's demonstrated technical back-ground, past performance and experience on projects of a similar size, scope, complexity and nature.</p> <p>The standard will be met when the offeror demonstrates the team's technical background, past performance and experience on projects of a similar size, scope, complexity and nature as those required in Exhibit F,</p>				
<b>RATINGS:</b>				
<p><b>E</b> = Excellent: Exceeds evaluation standard in a beneficial way to the Authority, and has a high probability of satisfying the requirements in the scope of services; has no significant weaknesses</p> <p><b>A</b> = Acceptable: Meets evaluation standards; has good probability of satisfying the requirements in the scope of services, any weaknesses can be readily corrected</p> <p><b>M</b> = Marginal: Fails to meet evaluation standards; has low probability of satisfying the requirements in the scope of services; has significant deficiencies.</p> <p><b>U</b> = Unacceptable: Fails to meet minimum requirements in the scope of services; deficiency requires a major revision to the submittal to make it acceptable.</p>				

Attachment: 120004 - FPR Evaluation Matrix Board Agenda Review Version (CMTA-2011-8 : Wellness Program Services Contract)





















